DO YOU VALUE A SELF-REGULATED PROFESSION?

Fellow engineers,

For years now, I have warned about the threat to self-regulation in our profession. *That threat does <u>not</u> come from the Ontario government*—a fabrication some councillors have invented. It comes <u>from within</u>, from our own council and the "experts" Council has been hiring to support its agenda. *The threat has been allowed to grow because seven-eighths of us don't bother to vote!*

You sent me to Council two years ago, because you were as concerned about this as I was. Unfortunately, it's getting worse. Councillors feel that democratic input from the members must be suppressed "to protect the public", yet no one has ever shown—since 1922—that the two can't co-exist and both benefit.

No effective government can exist without checks and balances. This is not China or Trump's America. Yet the democratic control we have over PEO—even now—is minuscule. (Arguably, this is why the problems we face now have arisen.) One-third of Council is government-appointed (usually for three years). Our voters can render judgment on only <u>seven</u> of twenty-five council seats this year!

Unhappy with even this exposure to democratic change, Council, supported by its well-paid "experts", is considering:

- "Competency"-based candidacy and later, "a move away from elections" [Umm. . . who defines "competency"?]
- "Educating" candidates and voters (presumably on Council's orthodoxy and "best practices")
- Councillors' input to be consistent with the governance "filter"
- Additions to Council's agenda tightly controlled
- Cut the size of Council, with only half—or even no—member-elected councillors

Council's decisions hardly affect Council. They affect <u>us</u>—it's our future, our profession. <u>We</u> provide engineering services and protect the public interest. **The profession's needs and public interest are** *not* **mutually exclusive.**

GREGORY WOWCHUK

for Vice President

Vote!