

101-40 Sheppard Ave. W., Toronto, ON M2N 6K9 T: 416 224-1100 800 339-3716 www.peo.on.ca

Minutes

LEGISLATION COMMITTEE MEETING

Friday, April 5, 2019 - 10:00 a.m. [Meeting held via teleconference]

Members:

Thomas Chong, P. Eng. Gary Houghton, P. Eng. (Chair) Lisa MacCumber, P. Eng. (Vice-Chair) Gregory Wowchuk, P. Eng.

Staff:

Jordan Max, Manager, Policy Andrew Tapp, Policy Analyst

Regrets:

David Brown, P. Eng. (President, Ex-Officio Member) Lola Hidalgo, P. Eng. Nancy Hill, P. Eng. (President-Elect, Ex-Officio Member)

1. PROCEDURAL

1.1 Introduction

The Chair called the meeting to order at 10:04 a.m. and welcomed everyone.

1.2 Approval of Agenda

The members were asked if there were any additions or changes to the agenda. No additions or changes were provided.

A motion was made to approve the agenda as written.

Moved by: G. Wowchuk Seconded by: L. MacCumber CARRIED

1.3 Approval of Minutes of March 8, 2019 Meeting

The members were asked if there were any additions or changes to the Minutes. No additions or changes were provided.

A motion was made to approve the Minutes as written.

Moved by:	T. Chong	Seconded by:	G. Wowchuk	CARRIED
morea sy.			di fi di di di di	

1.4 Action Items Update from March 8, 2019 Meeting

J. Max reviewed the action items presented, as follows:

By-Law No. 1 Revisions - Fees

(b) Draft By-Law

Submit the Briefing Note and draft By-Law changes to PEO Council [Completed]

J. Max reported this item as completed.

Regulations (Academic and Examination) - Ontario Labour Mobility Act (OLMA)

Put the issue of Regulation Change Proposal Timing on the agenda of the April 2019 Legislation Committee meeting. [Completed]

J. Max reported this item as completed; refer to Item 3.1 of this meeting's agenda.

<u>Regulations (Provisional Licence)</u>

(b) Ontario Fairness Commissioner Feedback

Complete the Briefing Note and Preliminary Regulatory Impact Assessment and deliver them to PEO Council. [Completed]

J. Max reported this item as completed.

2. FOR DECSISON

2.1 2018-2019 Legislation Committee Work Plan Evaluation

The Committee reviewed the Work Plan for 2018-2019 and used it to analyze its performance. The Committee went through each of the tasks that had been assigned to it for the year and concluded that they had completed 8 out of 12 items when taking continuing items into account, but only 2 out of 6 items for non-repeating items. However, most of the incomplete items are due to having to wait for policy input from other committees, some of which only meet a few times per year.

The Committee concluded that the results of the 2018 self-evaluation could be used for the 2019 evaluation, with staff being instructed to make the following changes:

- (1) To change the Committee's answer to Question 15 from "Always/ Sometimes" to "Sometimes".
- (2) To update the Work Plan assessment portion of the evaluation to reflect the Legislation Committee's 2018-2019 performance and add a note stating that the results were affected by having to wait for the input of other committees.
- (3) To add "a status report on the annual Work Plan should be included in each meeting as a standing item".

A motion was made that the Legislation Committee accept the 2018-2019 Work Plan Evaluation, as detailed above, and will submit the evaluation to the People Development Department.

Moved by:	L. MacCumber	Seconded by: T. Chong	CARRIED
<u>Action</u> :		the 2018-2019 Legislation Comm People Development Departmer	
<u>Action</u> :		e 2019-2020 Work Plan (once ation item on every Legislation Cor	

[Editorial: The updated Committee self-evaluation is appended to these Minutes.]

3. FOR DISCUSSION

3.1 <u>Regulation Change Proposal Timing</u>

The Committee examined the Regulation changes that were pending approval by Council or pending delivery to the Ministry of the Attorney General for drafting. The impetus for this evaluation was that PEO was in the middle of a large-scale external review of its licensing processes, and the result of this review could require changes to the pending Regulation amendments. It would seem unprofessional for PEO to send amendments to Council or the Ministry of the Attorney General only to immediately withdraw or modify them. The Legislation Committee needed to determine which Regulation amendments should be sent to the Ministry of the Attorney General or Council now, and which should be delayed pending the results of the external review.

G. Wowchuk suggested ranking the items by three levels of importance (low, medium or high) and by three levels of urgency (urgent, not urgent and routine), and to list the amendments under each of the resulting categories. J. Max and the Committee added a second ranking criterion based on the timeliness of the amendments. Evaluating the pending regulatory amendments using these two criteria resulted in the Committee proposing to send the provisional licence changes and two of the changes regarding academics (the ones the Committee decided were "medium" priority) to the Ministry of the Attorney General for drafting, and sending the three amendments marked as "Housekeeping Regulation Changes" to Council for approval before being delivered to the Ministry of the Attorney General for drafting.

A motion was made to deliver the Regulation changes related to provisional licences and the two academic Regulation changes marked by the Committee as "medium" priority to the Ministry of the Attorney General for drafting, and to deliver the housekeeping amendments to Council for approval before being sent to the Ministry of the Attorney General for drafting.

Moved by: L. MacCumber Seconded by: T. Chong CARRIED

Action: Staff to deliver the Regulation changes related to provisional licences and the two academic Regulation changes marked by the Committee as "medium" priority to the Ministry of the Attorney General for drafting, and to deliver the housekeeping amendments to Council for approval before being sent to the Ministry of the Attorney General for drafting (possibly in the same package as the other Regulation changes).

[Editorial: The updated chart is appended to these Minutes.]

3.2 Change Letters - Regulation 854 and Regulation 855

The Committee reviewed the regulatory conflict change letters that staff had sent to the Ministry of Labour regarding amendments the government was making to Regulations 854 and 855. There are conflicts between these Regulations and the *Professional Engineers Act*, and these letters suggest additional changes that would resolve the conflicts. The Committee noted that, for future meetings, any change letter updates should be placed in the "For Information" section of the agenda.

4. FOR INFORMATION

4.1 Bill 70 - Registered Professional Planners Act, 2019

The Committee reviewed Bill 70, a private member's bill proposing a new Act that would govern the planning profession in Ontario. It was noted that the Act only covered the right to title and would not interfere with engineers who conducted planning activities as part of their practice.

5. NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

The Chair thanked all the Legislation Committee members and staff for a productive Committee year.

There being no further business to conduct, the meeting was adjourned at 11:15 a.m.

Committee Self Evaluation

	orm		
Committee: Legislation		Date: April 5,	2019
Please respond to each question with one of the follo Never	owing: Alv	ways, Sometii	nes or
		Rating	
Committee and Task Force Operations		0	
	Always	Sometimes	Never
1. Did the committee/task force operate within the specific Terms of Reference, annual Work Plan, Human Resources Plan and PEO core values?	X		
2. Did the committee/task force adequately meet the training needs of committee/task force members where required?	X		
3. Did the committee/task force work effectively with the Council-appointed liaison?	n/a		
4. Did the committee/task force suggest improvements to PEO processes?	X		
5. Did the committee/task force consult with other committees/task forces to identify and address policy issues?	X		
 Q3 is n/a since there is no Council liaison for the Legi 	slation Co	mmittee as a l	
 Need for a Legislation Committee training module for and integrated into new Councillor orientation. 		illors to be dev	
 Need for a Legislation Committee training module for and integrated into new Councillor orientation. Workplan status should be reviewed at each committee 		illors to be dev g.	
• Need for a Legislation Committee training module for and integrated into new Councillor orientation.	ee meeting	illors to be dev g. Rating	eloped
 Need for a Legislation Committee training module for and integrated into new Councillor orientation. Workplan status should be reviewed at each committee 		illors to be dev g.	
 Need for a Legislation Committee training module for and integrated into new Councillor orientation. Workplan status should be reviewed at each committe Role of the Committee / Task Force Chair 6. Did the chair effectively lead the committee/task 	ee meeting Always	illors to be dev g. Rating	eloped
 Need for a Legislation Committee training module for and integrated into new Councillor orientation. Workplan status should be reviewed at each committe Role of the Committee / Task Force Chair 6. Did the chair effectively lead the committee/task force in completing its duties and responsibilities? 7. Did the chair make effective use of committee/task 	ee meeting Always X	illors to be dev g. Rating	eloped
 Need for a Legislation Committee training module for and integrated into new Councillor orientation. Workplan status should be reviewed at each committe Role of the Committee / Task Force Chair 6. Did the chair effectively lead the committee/task force in completing its duties and responsibilities? 7. Did the chair make effective use of committee/task force members' knowledge and time? 8. Did the chair arrange for the preparation and distribution of a formal agenda in advance of each 	Always X X	illors to be dev g. Rating	eloped
 Need for a Legislation Committee training module for and integrated into new Councillor orientation. Workplan status should be reviewed at each committe Role of the Committee / Task Force Chair 6. Did the chair effectively lead the committee/task force in completing its duties and responsibilities? 7. Did the chair make effective use of committee/task force members' knowledge and time? 8. Did the chair arrange for the preparation and distribution of a formal agenda in advance of each meeting, including any required supporting material? 9. Did the chair provide committee/task force members 	Always X X X	illors to be dev g. Rating	eloped

Committee Self Evaluation Form				
Committee: Legislation Date: April 5, 2019				
Role of Committee/Task Force Members	Rating			
	Always	Sometimes	Never	
11. Were committee/task force members respectful of	X			
the roles of the chair, their colleagues, the liaison and				
the committee advisor?				
12. Did committee/task force members participate		X		
actively?				
13. Did committee/task force members come to	X			
meetings prepared?				
14. Were committee/task force members given the	n/a			
opportunity to agree to the expectations of effort and				
intention of committee/task force membership prior to				
accepting a role on the committee/task force?				
15. Did committee/task force members dedicate the		X		
required time to the work of the committee/task force?				
Additional comments to the above:				
 May want to track and report on Committee member a 	attendance	э.		
• Q14 is n/a as Legislation Committee is a Board committee and membership is				
determined by Council; more should be done to communicate to potential Committee				
members what the Committee's expectations of its members are.				
Committee Performance Metrics (from the annual Work Plan)				
Metric:	Assessment of results:			
% completions of non-repeating Task/Activities	33% (2/6)			
attributable to the Legislation Committee:	(Note that delays are due to		ue to	
	policy di	rection from ot	her	
	committe	ees)		

3.1 Upcoming Regulation Changes

Regulation Change	Priority	Notes
Academic and Exam Regulation Changes		
Include accredited engineering programs from	Medium	Timely
community colleges		
Replace the term "thesis" with "engineering report"	Medium	
Destrict when an applicant maximits the Drefessional		May depend on
Restrict when an applicant may write the Professional Practice Examination to only after the academic	Low	May depend on External Review
requirements have been met		
Allow PEO to close an application after eight years of not	Low	May depend on
meeting all non-academic licensure requirements		External Review
meeting an non academic neelisare requirements		External Review
Allow PEO more administrative flexibility on when to	Low	May depend on
offer technical examinations, and to recognize that more	-	External Review
engineering students may fulfill their degree		
requirements in different time periods		
House Keeping Regulation Changes		
Update section 29(f) to change "Canadian Council of	Medium	
Professional Engineers" to "Engineers Canada"		
Remove "subject to the chapter's by-law" in chapter	Medium	
privileges for engineering interns in section 32.1(2) ii. to		
remove an improper subdelegation of authority		
Change the term "membership" to "licence" in section	Medium	
37(a) for those writing the Professional Practice Exam		
Provisional Licence Regulation Changes		
Change section 44.1(1) to remove Registrar's discretion	High	
to grant a provisional licence to an applicant who does		
not yet have 12 months of Canadian Experience to		
support proclamation of outstanding changes to section		
14(7) of the Professional Engineers Act		
Vice-President Regulation Changes	l	
Changes related to term limits and appointed and elected	Low	
Vice-Presidents		