Professional Engineers
Ontario

Minutes

EXPERIENCE REQUIREMENTS COMMITTEE

Meeting of June 29, 2015

PRESENT:

Members:

		Staff:
Santosh Gupta, Chair	David Kahn	Moody Farag
David Kiguel, Vice-Chair	Leroy Lees	Lawrence Fogwill
Tom Murad	Saleh Tadros	Jasmina Kovacevic
George Apostol	Tibor Palinko	Muna Labib
Jim McConnach	Bosko Madic	Becky St. Jean
Duncan Blachford	Uthayan Thurairajah	Pauline Lebel
Mohamed Boutazakhti		Daniel Mandefro
George Chelvanayagam		Nancy Matar
Branislav Gojkovic		Ann Pierre
Mohinder Grover		Mark Hekimgil
Ravi Gupta		

Absent:

		Guests:
Michael Price, Deputy Registrar		Barna Szbados
Frank Sigouin-Allan	Jeremy Carkner	
Ruben Burga	Barry Hitchcock	
Andrew Poray	Antonio Paz	
Venkat Raman	Huirong Min	
Eugene Puritch	Changiz Sadr	
Galal Abdelmessih	Duncan Sidey	

1. Call to Order and Chair's Remarks

    The meeting was called to order at approximately 1:31 pm

    The Chair apologized for the changing of the meeting date.

2. Approval of the Agenda

    MOTION:

It was **moved** by Bill Jackson and **seconded** by Mohinder Grover that the agenda be approved, as amended.

CARRIED

Business item to be discussed in chairs report

3. Approval of the Minutes of the April 17, 2015 Meeting

   Minutes from the last meeting were not circulated.

4. Matter(s) and Action Items Arising from the Minutes and the Experience Requirements Committee Motions and Actions List

   There were no matters arising from the minutes.

5. Chair's Report

   The Chair reported that:

   1) Attended May 29 Council Meeting and Council
      i) Received 2016 budget assumptions
      ii) Approved amendments for CPDCQA Terms of Reference
      iii) Approved the process for the selection of the recipient of the President's award
      iv) Maria Cellucci nominated for a one year term on EC Audit Committee

   2) Sub Committee Meeting May 19 discussed limited licence working group liaison and the appointment of ERC coordinators to provide assistance in the process.

   3) Invitation with B. Szbados to Mississauga Chapter information session to show how ERC and ARC work with each other. To clarify the different connections between committee's as requested by concerns voiced at the PEO AGM.

   4) EC Educational Accreditation Assessment Initiative
      i) 60/60 – agreement from 8 of the 12 constituents and 60% of Engineers Canada members are required for the proposal to go forward
      ii) Immigration Canada wants constituent associations to support the EC proposal

   5) June 22 CODE meeting
      i) LL teaching faculty – With the removal of the LL for faulty members (LLFM) in the new regulations, and to address the concerns of M. Price, Past Chair, and Chair of CODE, an Interpretive Statement for Licensure of Academics was prepared and discussed. It was well received by CODE.

6. Deputy Registrar's Report

   P. Lebel noted that in the absence of Michael Price there will be no Deputy Registrars Report.
   B. Szbados noted information from ARC that CIC has modified the RFP content and want EC to give recognitions ready for licence; however EC does not have the authority to do so.

7. Licensing Regulations Report

   No Report

8. ERC Elections Procedure

   D. Kiguel noted that until now the ERC election process was based on an annual basis but now is suggesting that ERC chair and vice chair elections be based on a 2 year term and to limit the re-elections to 4 consecutive years.

MOTION

It was **moved** by George Apostol and **second** by Jim McConnach to delete all wording after "the chairs position" from 17a.

Carried

MOTION

It was **moved** by George Apostol and **second** by Bill Jackson to amend the wording of 17b.

Defeated

MOTION

It was **moved** by David Kiguel and **second** by Jim McConnach to amend the ERC elections Procedure and nomination form.

Carried

9. Limited Licence Presentation and LL Working Group Report – Designation of Limited Licence Coordinators

    L. Fogwill noted that a working group was formed including 3 members of each ERC and ARC. The new process is that all LL will continue to go to ERC for interview. Work samples are to be submitted ahead of time to give the interviewers more contexts and to be better prepared for the interview. Mock files are to be tested for the new process.
    D. Kiguel noted that the new process is different because of the new regulations, and requires applicants to define the scope of practise. The scope of practise has to be well defined, and supported by the knowledge base, to ensure the process is consistent and all applicants be treated in the same matter. The difference from the current process is that the ERC coordinators will help choose which ERC members should be a part of the applicants interview panel. Mock interviews are to be conducted to identify any flaws.
    B. Szbados noted that there is a notable difference from earlier requirements to specify that in the scope of practise you are required to have the same knowledge as a P. Eng. ERC will be getting topics to be discussed instead of transcripts. Dry runs will be going through the full process.

10. Credit for Engineering experience to candidates assigned specific exams by the ARC

MOTION

It was **moved** by Jim McConnach and **second** by Mohinder Grover that we accept and endorse how we take into account the process of Credit for Engineering Experience

Carried

11. ERC Procedures Manual Update
    It was noted that significant updates are needed before a revision will be made to the manual. L. Notash has agreed to offer her services to assist in reviewing the initial version which will be distributed shortly.

12. Other Business

    None

13. Adjournment

It was **moved** George Apostol and **seconded** by Bill Jackson that the meeting be adjourned.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 4:04 p.m.

<u>Next Meeting</u>: Friday, August 21, 2015